

Individual and Site-Level Factors Associated with Risk of Death among People with HIV

Background

- Although antiretroviral therapy (ART) allows persons with HIV (PWH) to live longer, healthier lives, many PWH may not be receiving comprehensive HIV care, resulting in shortened survival.
- Individual-level factors may contribute to shortened survival yet site-level factors and availability of ancillary services may also influence survival among PWH.
- We sought to assess factors associated with mortality among a cohort of PWH receiving care in Washington, DC (The DC Cohort).

Objectives

• To describe and compare individual factors, site-level factors, and care continuum patterns among those who died and those who survived in the DC Cohort.

Methods

DC COHORT STUDY

- Ongoing longitudinal observational cohort study of HIV-infected persons in care in Washington, DC at 14 participating clinical sites.
- Data abstracted from participants' electronic medical records manually and through electronic exports and linked with DC. Department of Health Vital Statistics death certificate data.
- Included participants enrolled from 1/2011 -12/2016 who died after enrollment or were actively enrolled in the Cohort.
- Conducted survey of Cohort clinical sites to assess availability of selected services.
- Developed an overall clinic assessment score (range 0-9) for each site based on availability of services (e.g., hours, referrals, visit intervals, re-engagement services, subspecialty care).
- Stratified sites into low (≤6) vs. high (7-9) scoring based on median score.
- Examined other site-level variables including systematic retention in care monitoring and routine review of medication pick up (ART monitoring)

ANALYSIS

- Measured care continuum outcomes (e.g., retention in care, on ART, viral suppression) in the 6-months prior to death.
- Conducted univariable analyses to compare participants who died vs. survived.
- Used Cox proportional hazards models to identify associations between site-level variables and time to death since HIV diagnosis.

Contact: Amanda D. Castel, acastel@gwu.edu

Castel AD, Powers Happ L, Monroe A, Jaurretche M, Terzian A, Greenberg AE, on Behalf of the DC Cohort Executive Committee George Washington University, Milken Institute School of Public Health

Results

Table 1. Characteristics of DC Cohort Participants at Enrollment by Vital Status (N=6,603)

	Total	Alive	Dead	P-value
	N=6,603	N=6,316	N=287	
Participant Characteristic	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Age at enrollment (median, IQR)	47.9 (37.7, 55.2)	47.6 (37.3, 54.9)	54.6 (46.6, 60.4)	<0.0001
Months of follow up, median (IQR)	44.2 (25.4,62.3)	45.2 (26.6, 63.0)	22.0 (10.4, 34.1)	<0.0001
Sex (male)	4710 (71.3)	4504 (71.3)	206 (71.8)	0.864
Race/ethnicity*				
NH Black	5196 (78.7)	4961 (78.6)	235 (81.9)	0.362
NH White	786 (11.9)	745 (11.9)	32 (11.1)	
Hispanic	354 (5.4)	346 (5.5)	8 (2.8)	
Other/unknown	267 (4.0)	255 (4.0)	12 (4.2)	
Mode of HIV Transmission*				
Heterosexual	2193 (33.2)	2088 (33.1)	105 (36.6)	<0.0001
MSM and MSM/IDU	2403 (36.4)	2343 (37.1)	63 (20.9)	
IDU	471 (7.1)	421 (6.7)	50 (17.4)	
Insurance*				
Medicaid	2602 (39.4)	2503 (39.6)	99 (34.5)	<0.0001
Private/Self-pay	1788 (27.8)	1747 (27.7)	41 (14.3)	
Public**	1005 (15.2)	951 (15.1)	54 (18.8)	
Medicare	963 (14.6)	878 (13.9)	85 (29.6)	
Smoking (ever)	3556 (53.9)	3350 (53.0)	206 (71.8)	<0.0001
Homeless/Unstably Housed	589 (8.9)	554 (8.8)	35 (12.6)	0.252
Alcohol use (ever)	1897 (28.7)	1786 (28.3)	111 (38.7)	0.0003
Recreational drug use (ever)	2321 (35.2)	2188 (34.6)	133 (46.3)	<0.0001
Duration of infection at time of				
enrollment (yrs) (median, IQR)	9.7 (4.4,16.8)	9.5 (4.3 <i>,</i> 16.6)	12.2 (6.5, 19.0)	<0.0001
AIDS dx	2808 (42.5)	2633 (41.7)	175 (61.0)	<0.0001
Late diagnosis***	1177 (17.8)	1115 (17.7)	62 (21.6)	0.087
CD4 (cells/µl) (median, IQR)	529 (342, 738.5)	536 (349 <i>,</i> 744)	374.5 (192, 612)	<0.0001
Viral load (copies/ml)(median, IQR)	u (u <i>,</i> 140)	u (u, 130)	30 (u, 1,475)	0.0129
Prescribed ART during study (yes)	6154 (93.2)	5884 (93.2)	270 (94.1)	0.207
Co-morbidities				
HTN	2043 (30.9)	1923 (30.5)	119 (41.5)	<0.0001
Depression/Mental health	1685 (25.5)	1585 (25.1)	100 (34.8)	0.0002
Hepatitis C	947 (14.3)	856 (13.6)	91 (31.7)	<0.0001
Hepatitis B	248 (3.8)	239 (3.8)	9 (3.1)	0.572
Diabetes	713 (10.8)	659 (10.4)	54 (18.8)	<0.0001
Chronic renal failure	342 (5.2)	309 (4.9)	33 (11.5)	<0.0001
*Percentages may not = 100 due to missing data. **Public insurance includes ADAP, Ryan White, DC Alliance, and VA insurance. ***Late diagnosis defined as HIV and				





*Other/unknown cause of death included 52 deaths categorized as 'unknown', 15 attributed to renal failure, 8 accidents/violent deaths, and 8 related to diabetes.

Status

* Indicates statistically significant differences at a p-value ≤0.05 when comparing service availability by vital status.

of Death

Site-level	Covariate
------------	-----------

Site-level Covariate	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% Cl)**
Clinic assessment score category (high vs. low)*	1.22 (0.94,1.58)	1.32 (0.99, 1.76)
ART monitoring (no vs. yes)	1.39 (1.10, 1.75)	1.70 (1.26, 2.29)
Retention monitoring (no vs. yes)	1.47 (1.17, 1.86)	1.47 (1.10, 1.97)
Substance abuse counseling (no vs. yes)	0.76 (0.60, 0.96)	0.84 (0.60, 1.17)
Group counseling (no vs. yes)	0.91 (0.72,1.15)	0.49 (0.31, 0.76)
Routine follow-up of missed visits (no vs. yes)	0.88 (0.61, 1.28)	0.98 (0.63, 1.51)
Provides primary care (no vs. yes)	1.23 (0.95, 1.60)	1.31 (0.98, 1.75)
Provides ≥3 specialty services (no vs. yes)	1.18 (0.93, 1.49)	2.16 (1.31, 3.55)
Urgent care (no vs. yes)	1.13 (0.85, 1.49)	1.08 (0.73, 1.60)
Clinic type (hospital vs. community- based)	0.96 (0.76, 1.21)	0.63 (0.44, 0.90)
Case Management (no vs. yes)	0.90 (0.71, 1.14)	1.03 (0.76, 1.39)
Nurse Navigation (no vs. yes)	0.93 (0.73, 1.19)	0.80 (0.60, 1.06)

Clinic assessment score consisted of 9 clinic-level variables including: group counseling, telephone calls/SMS, follow-up for missed visits, care coordination with other specialties, evening hours, seeing new patients within 14 days, provide primary care, provide care for >=3 specialties, and urgent care.** Models adjusted for all individual-level variables and clinical type (community vs. hospital based).





Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases at the National Institutes of Health under Grant UO1 Al69503-03S2. Data in this poster were collected by the DC Cohort investigators and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingjiang Hou, Stacey Purinton, Nabil Rayeed and Rob Taylor); Children's National Institutes of the DC and research staff located by the DC and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingjiang Hou, Stacey Purinton, Nabil Rayeed and Rob Taylor); Children's National Medical Center Adolescent (Lawrence D'Angelo) and Pediatric (Natella Rakhmanina) clinics; The Senior Deputy Director of the DC and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingjiang Hou, Stacey Purinton, Nabil Rayeed and Rob Taylor); Children's National Medical Center Adolescent (Lawrence D'Angelo) and Pediatric (Natella Rakhmanina) clinics; The Senior Deputy Director of the DC and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingjiang Hou, Stacey Purinton, Nabil Rayeed and Rob Taylor); Children's National Medical Center Adolescent (Lawrence D'Angelo) and Pediatric (Natella Rakhmanina) clinics; The Senior Deputy Director of the DC and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingjiang Hou, Stacey Purinton, Nabil Rayeed and Rob Taylor); Children's National Medical Center Adolescent (Lawrence D'Angelo) and Pediatric (Natella Rakhmanina) clinics; The Senior Deputy Director of the DC and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingjiang Hou, Stacey Purinton, Nabil Rayeed and Rob Taylor); Children's National Medical Center of the DC and research staff located at: Cerner Corporation (Jeffrey Binkley, Cheryl Akridge, Thila Subramanian, Qingji Department of Health HAHSTA (Michael Kharfen); Family and Medical Counseling Service (Nichael Serlin); George Washington University (Princy Kumar); Georgetown University (Ronald Wilcox); La Clinica Del Pueblo (Ricardo Fernandez); MetroHealth (Annick Hebou); National Institutes of Health (Carl Dieffenbach); Unity Health Care Gebeyehu Teferi); Veterans Affairs Medical Center (Debra Benator); Washington Hospital Center (Maria Elena Ruiz); Whitman-Walker Health (David Hardy, Deborah Goldstein); Kaiser Permanente (Michael Horberg). We would also like to acknowledge the Research Assistants at all of the participating sites, the DC Cohort Community Advisory Board and the DC Cohort participants

Results

Figure 2. Availability of Selected Site-level Services by Participant Vital



Table 2. Multivariable Analysis of Site-level Factors Associated with Risk

Figure 3. Comparison of HIV Care Continuum Outcomes by Vital Status,



- deaths per 100 person-years.
- mean age at death was 56.7 yrs.
- 21.1).
- (IQR:159, 562).
- ART and retention monitoring services. (Figure 2)
- observed among those in care at clinics with no ART monitoring (aHR 95%CI: 1.31, 3.55).
- more co-morbid conditions than those surviving.
- data.
- urban city.
- improve survival among people living with HIV.



Poster 892

Results (continued)

Among 6,603 participants, 287 (4.3%) died from 2011-2016; 1.05

Deaths were mostly among males (71.8%), blacks (81.9%), and the

• Median time from HIV diagnosis to death was 14.5 years (IQR:9.1,

24% of deaths were AIDS-related, 10% cardiovascular disease (CVD)related and 9% due to non-AIDS related malignancies. (Figure 1) Mean number of days from last care encounter to death was 78 (IQR:30-183) with a median CD4 closest to death of 344 cells/ μ l

Participants who died attended more hospital-based clinics with substance use and nurse navigation programs but fewer clinics with • In separate multivariate analyses, an increased risk of death was

1.70; 95%CI:1.26, 2.29), no retention monitoring (aHR1.47; 95%CI: 1.10, 1.97), and those without 3 or more specialty services (aHR 2.16;

• HIV care continuum outcomes found that higher proportions of those who died were retained in care in the 6-months prior to death (p=0.0185), yet lower proportions were prescribed ART (p=0.0418) and were virally suppressed (VL<200 copies/ml)(p<0.0001). (Figure 3)

Conclusions

• Deaths observed primarily among those diagnosed longer and with

Despite relatively high rates of retention in care and ART use, almost a quarter of deaths among HIV-positive persons were still HIV-related Limitations include non-random patient assignment to clinics, hence sicker participants may be more likely to seek care at hospital-based clinics. Additionally, ~20% of participants had missing cause of death

• Strengths include large participant sample size, comprehensive sitelevel services data and data representing HIV care delivery in large

Variability and comprehensiveness of site-level services may influence the quality of care being provided, help mitigate poor outcomes, and